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ABSTRACT

In this study, an easily prepared bio-based abrasive grinding wheel based on tannin–glyoxal–urea (TGU) thermosetting matrix is presented. The synthesised resin was prepared via co-polycondensation reaction of glyoxal and urea with condensed tannin, which is a forest-derived product. Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry results confirmed that urea and glyoxal react well under acidic conditions and that –(OH)CH–NH– group is primarily involved in TGU cross-linking. Differential scanning calorimetry, thermomechanical analysis and thermogravimetric analysis investigations showed that the preparation of TGU resin is easier compared to commercial phenol–formaldehyde (PF) resin; moreover, TGU resin has a more robust chemical network structure, which contributes efficiently to heat resistance and improved mechanical properties. This observation is supported by Brinell hardness, compression resistance and grinding testing; these showed that the new grinding wheel acquired higher hardness, superior resistance against compression and stronger abrasion resistance compared with a PF-based grinding wheel prepared in the laboratory. Moreover, few holes and no cracks were found in the new grinding wheel.
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INTRODUCTION

Grinding wheels are frequently used for processing materials in construction, interior decoration, mechanical manufacturing and other fields (Li et al. 2020, Klocke et al. 2015, Manoharan et al. 2015). For three decades, phenol-formaldehyde (PF) resin has been widely employed as a binder for the preparation of abrasive materials (Robie 1957, Rowe and Stinchfield 1959, Wang et al. 2011, Luo et al. 2012). However, the release of free formaldehyde during preparation and use cause environmental pollution, limiting its industrial application. Therefore, considerable research has been performed on tannin originating from forests (Pizzi 1994, Pasch et al. 2001) to develop a new environmentally friendly and low-cost resin as a substitute for preparing grinding wheels. The chemical structure of mimosa tannin (MT) is analogous to that of phenol (Zuo et al. 2019, Tondi et al. 2008a, Tondi et al. 2008b) and predominantly comprises prorobinetidins and profisetinidins (Figure 1). The reactivity at positions 6 and 8 of aromatic ring A is high; thus, electrophilic substitution with cations is easy. In contrast, at position 4 of aromatic ring A, nucleophilic substitution with anions is most favourable. Electrophilic activity at position 8 is higher compared to that at position 6 because position 8 is closer to heteroepoxy in aromatic ring A (Tondi et al. 2008c).

Over the preceding three decades, tannin has been widely studied to partially or completely replace phenol in PF resin to reduce the cost and use of oil-derived materials as processing aids (Hussein et al. 2011, Moubarik et al. 2009). However, compared with phenol, condensed tannin has more number of phenolic hydroxyl groups and a complex structure along with poor mechanical properties and low reactivity. Therefore, cross-linking between tannin and formaldehyde resulted in reasonable mechanical properties (Sauget et al. 2014). Furthermore, furfuryl alcohol was used instead of formaldehyde to react with condensed tannin to obtain a non-toxic bio-based tannin-furanic acid (TF) thermosetting resin, which is used for the fabrication of grinding wheels (Zhang et al. 2015, Lagel et al. 2015a), rigid plastic (Li et al. 2013), foams (Zhou et al. 2019, Celzard et al. 2010, Lacoste et al. 2013, Lacoste et al. 2014) and wood adhesives (Abdullah and Pizzi 2013). Although TF resin-based products have high hardness and excellent mechanical properties, the high price of furfuryl alcohol constrains their industrial application. Glyoxal is a less toxic aldehyde (Wu et al. 2016) that can be used as an alternative cross-linker to react with tannin under acidic conditions instead of furfuryl alcohol and formaldehyde. However, tannin–glyoxal (TG) resin prepared in the laboratory has low viscosity and weak water resistance (Zhang et al. 2019). In contrast, urea is inexpensive, has good reactivity and can be reacted with tannin and glyoxal to form a high molecular weight condensate with improved mechanical properties (Li et al. 2015, Beckert et al. 2015). Consequently, research on tannin, glyoxal and urea focused on ascertaining the feasibility of tannin-glyoxal-urea (TGU) resin-based abrasive grinding wheels with high hardness, enhanced water resistance and good mechanical properties.

This study focuses on developing an environmentally friendly abrasive grinding wheel to replace the industrially comparable PF-based grinding wheel. First, urea and glyoxal were reacted to form GU resin under acidic conditions. Next, tannin was incorporated to yield TGU resin. Then, silicon dioxide (SiO₂) particles were mixed into the resin matrix. Previously, sample curing was achieved in an oven over 4 days (Lagel et al. 2015b), whereas the current method has made the fabrication process more efficient and simplified by mixing the resin with abrasive particles and conducting the curing in a press in a shortened time (~1.5 h).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Tannin powder, extracted from mimosa bark (*Caciamearnsii mollissima* De Wildt), was purchased from Hong Bang Biological Technology Co. (Chuxiong, China). Glyoxal (40%), 37% aqueous solution of formaldehyde, para-toluene-4-sulphonic acid (p-TSA; 97.5%) and furfuryl alcohol (98%) were provided by Acros Organics (Geel, Belgium), while ethanol (80%) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Company (Beijing, China). SiO$_2$ particles (0.4 mm in size) and commercial tung oil were supplied by the Run Xin Materials Co. (Kunming, China). Commercial urea was procured from Huairenzhongan Chemical Plant (Jiangxi, China) while liquefied phenol (80%) and sodium hydroxide were procured from Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK).

Preparation of different resin matrices

First, 100 g of tannin powder and 500 mL of ethanol were mixed for 1 h at room temperature (27 °C) under continuous stirring. After extraction, the mixture was centrifuged, and the supernatant was collected. Ethanol was removed in a rotary evaporator at 60 °C. MT was obtained after the removal of entrapped water via freeze-drying of the remaining product.

The TGU resin matrix was synthesised as follows. Glyoxal and urea were mixed at a G/U molar ratio of 2 in a flat-bottomed flask according to the literature of Deng et al. (2014a), Deng et al. 2014b, equipped with a thermometer and a magnetic stirrer bar for 30 min at 80 °C, and the pH was adjusted to 10 using 40% aqueous solution of NaOH. Then, the temperature was decreased to 60 °C and MT was added under continuous stirring for 30 min. The mixture was loaded into a rotary evaporator and worked on under vacuum for 1.5 h, during the process, the pH was adjusted to 3 or 10 using 30% aqueous solution of p-TSA or 40% aqueous solution of NaOH to form TGU1 or TGU2 resin, respectively. To decrease resin brittleness, tung oil was added to both resins as described by Lagel et al. (2015a).

TF and PF resins were prepared for the purpose of comparison with TGU resin. The TF resin matrix was prepared as described by Li et al. (2013) and Lagel et al. (2015b). Thus, MT and furfuryl alcohol were mixed in a beaker under continuous stirring for 5 min, and the pH was adjusted to 3 using 30% aqueous solution of p-TSA. Then, the mixture was transferred to a rotary evaporator and worked on under vacuum for 1.5 h at 60 °C. Tung oil was added to obtain TF resin. The formulations employed for the preparation of the tannin-based resin matrices are presented in Table 1.
Table 1: Composition of the tannin-based resin matrices prepared in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>MT (g)</th>
<th>Furfuryl alcohol (mL)</th>
<th>Glyoxal (mL)</th>
<th>Urea (g)</th>
<th>Tung oil (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGU1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGU2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MT refers to mimosa tannin.

PF resin was synthesised at 94 °C as described previously (Rowse and Stinchfield 1959). 2 mol of formaldehyde (37 % aqueous solution) was mixed with 1 mol of phenol. The formaldehyde was divided into four equal parts, and each part was added at 15-min intervals. The first part of formaldehyde was mixed with phenol in a flask and put into an induction oven at 94 °C under continuous stirring, whereas the second, third and fourth parts of formaldehyde were added into the induction oven and the mixture was refluxed under continuous stirring. During this process, the pH was adjusted to 10 using 40 % aqueous solution of NaOH.

The solid content in the resin matrices were determined by recording the weight of the resins before and after drying for 2 h in an oven at 120 °C ± 1°C. A TU 4 viscosity cup was used to assess the viscosity of the resins at room temperature while their curing time was determined in accordance with Chinese National Standard GB/T14074 (2006).

Preparation of the grinding wheels

Grinding wheels were prepared as previously described by Lagel et al. (2015b). First, 50 g of either TGU1, TGU2, TF or PF resin was put in a beaker with SiO₂ particles at SiO₂-to-resin mass ratio of 1,5:1. Mixing was performed under continuous stirring for 5 min. Next, the mixture was put into a mould (50 mm in diameter and 15 mm in thickness) and the mould was placed in a press machine for 1,5 h at 150 °C under a pressure of 7,8 MPa. Cooling at room temperature was employed and a 16-mm diameter hole was drilled at the centre to produce a grinding wheel; the TGU1-, TGU2-, TF- and PF-based grinding wheels are termed TGU1-G, TGU2-G, TF-G and PF-G, respectively. Figure 2 illustrates the steps involved in the preparation of TGU-G.

Characterizations

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was performed on the resins using a Varian 1000 infrared spectrometer (Varian, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to record the relevant spectra over a wavenumber range of 500 cm⁻¹ - 4000 cm⁻¹. Sample preparation for the acquisition of spectra involved mixing 0,001 g of KBr with 0,1 g
of finely ground particles of each cured resin.

Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry

Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed on a Waters Xevo Triple Quadrupole-MS (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) equipped with an ESI source. Samples of N-(2)-L-alanyl-L-glutamine, MT, TGU1resin and their mixtures were sequentially dissolved in chloroform at a concentration of ~10 µL/mL and injected using a syringe at a flow rate of 5 µg/s into the ESI source connected to an ion trap mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics Inc., Billerica, MA, USA). The spectra were recorded in positive mode with ion energy of 4,8×10^-20 J over a scan range of up to 1000 Da.

Differential scanning calorimetry

A differential scanning calorimeter (Netzsch DSC 204 F1, Germany) was used to study the curing behaviour of the resins under nitrogen atmosphere at a heating rate of 15 °C/min over a temperature range of 30 °C-250 °C.

Thermomechanical analysis

The elasticity modulus of the tannin-based resins was determined via thermomechanical analysis (TMA SDTA840, Mettler-Toledo, NY-USA); the obtained were processed using STARe software version 14.0 (Mettler-Toledo) for samples measuring 21 × 6 ×1,2 mm³. The runs proceeded from r.t. to 250 °C at a heating rate of 20 °C/min.

Thermogravimetric analysis

To identify the thermal resistance of the tannin-based grinding wheels, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was performed using a TG 209 F3 thermogravimetric analyser (Netzsch) operated under nitrogen atmosphere. The heating rate was 20 °C/min and the run covered a temperature range of 30 °C-900 °C.

Scanning electron microscopy

Samples measuring 5 × 5 × 4 mm³ were observed using a Hitachi scanning electron microscope (model S4800, Tokyo, Japan) at 50× magnification.

Brinell hardness and compression of grinding wheels

Brinell hardness (H_B, Da N/mm²) values were assigned in accordance with Chinese National Standard GB/T 231.1-2009 (2009) using a strength testing machine (Instron 1193, Boston, MA, USA) with a 10-mm steel ball under a load of 2452 N at a loading rate of 2 mm/min. H_B was calculated using the following equation, and the averaged value for the six samples was used Equation 1.

$$H_B = \frac{3.18 \times F}{1000 \times P}$$ (1)

Where F is the force that met the retained depression requirement (N) and P is the depth of ball depression (mm).

Compression was implemented in accordance with ASTM D695-10 (2010) using the same strength testing machine at a load in rate of 2 mm/min. The averaged value for the six samples was used.

Abrasion of grinding wheels

The wear index (I, %) of the grinding wheels was determined in accordance with ASTM-D4060-01 (2010) by recording the mass loss exhibited by a commercial particleboard (100× 100× 19 mm³) after completing 500 abrasion cycles through a Taber Abraser 5130 abrasimeter (Taber Industries, North Tonawanda, NY, USA). Wet abrasion was performed in accordance with ASTM-D4060-01 (2010) after immersing the samples in boiling water for 30 min. In addition, a commercial calibrated H-18 grinding wheel (Taber Industries; parti-
The mass-to-resin mass ratio of 1.5:1 was used for comparison. \( I \) was calculated according to the following equation as the average value of six samples Equation 2.

\[
I = \frac{(A - B) \times 1000}{C}
\]  

(2)

Where \( A \) is the weight of the particleboard before abrasion (mg), \( B \) is the weight of the particleboard after abrasion (mg) and \( C \) is the number of abrasion cycles.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**FTIR and ESI-MS analyses**

Figure 3 shows the infrared spectra of tannin-glyoxal-urea (TGU1) resin compared with that of MT. The spectrum of MT shows O–H stretching, which appears as a broad band at 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) - 3400 cm\(^{-1}\). Absorption bands at 1614 cm\(^{-1}\), 1557 cm\(^{-1}\), 1521 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1451 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to aromatic C=C stretching. In addition, aromatic C=C stretching of TGU1 resin was confirmed by peaks at 1452 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1401 cm\(^{-1}\). The C-O stretching of phenols in the MT structure is represented by an absorption band at 1284 cm\(^{-1}\), while in case of TGU1, it is represented by two absorption bands at 1225 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1207 cm\(^{-1}\). The MT spectrum exhibited an overtone of C–H stretching bands for the aromatic species at 1160 cm\(^{-1}\) – 1042 cm\(^{-1}\) and was slightly shifted to 1162 cm\(^{-1}\) – 1039 cm\(^{-1}\) for TGU1. These results indicate that urea and glyoxal caused a change in the chemical environment of the pure MT structure, translated into peak shifts. For verification, the spectrum of TGU1 was characterised by a broadened band extending over 3600 cm\(^{-1}\) – 3200 cm\(^{-1}\), attributed to overlapping O–H and N–H stretching. A carbonyl band at 1718 cm\(^{-1}\) refers to unreacted glyoxal, while the bands at 1654 cm\(^{-1}\) attributed to C=N stretching or the C=O stretching in the urea and 1342 cm\(^{-1}\) indicate the presence of C–N stretching, respectively.

Figure 3: FTIR spectra of MT and TGU1 resin.

Figure 4 shows the results of ESI-MS analysis for the detected fractions derived from MT and TGU1 resin, generated by the co-polymerisation of MT, glyoxal and urea. In the mass spectogram, the abscissa represents the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of ions bearing a single charge, with values increasing from left to right, whereas the ordinate represents the ion flow population. Table 2 presents the main chemical fractions resulting from MT and TGU1 resin. All peak values are based on either the molecular weight of the species + 23 because of an Na\(^+\) ion of the NaCl matrix enhancer or the molecular weight of the species + 1 because of H\(^+\) protonation. Formation of several possible intermediates can be deduced, as shown in Table 2.
In particular, the reaction between tannin and glyoxal was proven under the present reaction conditions via the detection of positive ions at \( m/z \) 385 ((M+Na)+) (Figure 5a). In addition, the phloroglucinol reaction complies with positive ions at \( m/z \) 157 ((M+H)+), which could be produced by tannin monomer degradation. A ring-opening reaction occurred due to the presence of positive ions at \( m/z \) 365 ((M+H)+) (Figure 5b). Furthermore, the reaction between tannin, glyoxal and urea matched the positive ions at \( m/z \) 701 ((M+Na)+). Therefore, peaks at \( m/z \) 1663 ((M+H)+) and 1887((M+H)+) (Figure 5c, 5d) are assigned to the reaction between tannin multimer, glyoxal and urea. All FTIR and ESI-MS results confirmed that the reactions between tannin, glyoxal and urea under acidic conditions were successful.

![Figure 4: ESI-MS spectra of MT and TGU1 resin.](image-url)
### Table 2: Oligomers identified via ESI-MS for the reaction between MT, glyoxal and urea.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
<th>Chemical species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>157,8518</td>
<td>157.0495</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>365,1687</td>
<td>365.0867</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385.2348</td>
<td>385.0530</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701,4884</td>
<td>701.1589</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>857,1908</td>
<td>857.2052</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1663,0938</td>
<td>1663.4471</td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1887,6147</td>
<td>1887.4204</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Chemical structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 5: Proposed condensation reactions of the tannin-based resin system.

**Characteristics of various laboratory resin matrices**

The general characteristics of the resins synthesised in the laboratory are presented in Figure 6. The viscosity of the TF resin was higher compared to that of TGU1 and TGU2 resins despite the higher solid content in TGU1 and TGU2 resins compared to TF resin; this can be attributed to better connectivity between the chains of TF through secondary forces and hydrogen bonding. In addition, linear chains of TF are longer than those of other resins. The involvement in glyoxal and urea with tannin can cause high levels of branching, which might cause a drop in viscosity. However, some researchers (Pizzi et al. 2008, Tondi 2017) have suggested that during the preparation of TF resin at 60°C under acidic conditions, it is difficult to build a –CH₂– bridge because of the self-condensation of furfuryl alcohol and high viscosity owing to the presence of tannin residue in the resin.

The solid content of TGU1 was higher compared to TGU2, matching a longer gel time of TGU2 with respect to TGU1, indicating that glyoxal is easily converted to tetrahydroxy ethane after a hydration-reversible
reaction in water under acidic conditions and then reacts with urea to synthesise GU resin for further co-polymerisation with MT. Therefore, compared to alkaline conditions, the reaction between tannin, furfuryl alcohol, urea and glyoxal is easily established under acidic conditions to form a resin system.

![Figure 6: Fundamental characteristics of various resin matrices.](image)

Curing thermograms of TGU1, TGU2, TF and PF resins are shown in Figure 7. The TGU2 reaction proceeded in two exothermic steps, indicating that two types of condensation formed in sequence. The reaction of TGU is slow in general because of the low reactivity of MT with GU resin, especially under alkaline conditions. The first peak at 87 °C was attributed to the straight chain reaction between glyoxal and urea under alkaline conditions (Deng et al. 2014b, Li and Lee 2000). As the temperature increased, MT began to react with GU more vigorously to build up a network structure. We strongly believe that this is due to the direct and instant connectivity between the two peaks (no induction time was noticed between them). In contrast, only one exothermic peak was observed for TGU1 resin at 100°C - 110 °C, indicating that the reaction between MT and GU resin under acidic conditions is easier, more homogeneous and favourable than under alkaline conditions. Moreover, the temperature of maximum curing peak of TGU1 resin (97 °C) are shorter than that of TF resin (103°C), indicating that TGU1 resin is easier to prepare than TF resin.

![Figure 7: Curing exotherms of tannin-based resins and PF resin using differential scanning calorimetry.](image)
This can be accounted for by higher reactivity between MT, urea and glyoxal under acidic conditions leading to a stronger network structure as corroborated by the sharpness of the curing peak, which can also be correlated with the gel time data (Figure 4) and investigation by TMA in Figure 8. The cross-linking between MT and furfuryl alcohol can proceed smoothly at a higher temperature as compared to the reaction between MT, urea and glyoxal to produce a resin with reasonable reactivity and balanced strength. The extended area under the peak corroborates the loose structure of the resin network as compared to TGU1 and explains the weaker mechanical strength, at least with respect to TGU1, which exhibited the maximum strength (compare TMA profiles in Figure 8). In the case of SiO₂ particles, it is expected that efficient chemical bonding to the network structure can develop through their surface hydroxyl groups, in particular with TGU1, leading to outstanding strength compared to the other resins. Further extensive thermal studies are required for detailed investigation of this point.

Figure 8: Thermomechanical (TMA) traces of the different resins.

The thermal degradation profiles of the resins along with MT are shown in Figure 9. The temperatures corresponding to mass losses of 5 %, 15 %, 30 % and 50 % are referred to as T5 %, T15 %, T30 % and T50 %, respectively. The degradation behaviour was almost identical in all cases, and for better understanding, it can be divided into two portions: from r.t. to 200 °C and from 200 °C to 800 °C. Thus, the degradation of cured TGU1 resin proceeded slowly till 200 °C due to efficient curing and higher cross-linking density, especially when compared with TGU2, while the PF, TF and TGU2 resins proceeded faster in the same range. Beyond 200 °C and up to the end of the run at 800 °C, the degradation phenomena of TGU1 and TGU2 was almost identical and showed less resistance to thermal degradation compared to TF and PF resins, inferring that the presence of urea as part of the structure is responsible for higher sensitivity to degradation under heating. The residual weights of the traces in each case indicate that TGU1 and TGU2 are the resins most sensitive to heat and loss of weight, especially at higher temperatures.

Nevertheless, considering the balanced properties of reasonable thermal resistance and developed mechanical strength observed from TMA and TGA results, it can be deduced that TGU1 resin is a good candidate for grinding wheel applications.
Observations of grinding wheels

TGU resin-based grinding wheels were prepared in the laboratory. Interestingly, most of them showed almost no cracks on the surface. TGU1 is shown as an example in Figure 10. Surface examination via scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is shown in Figure 11. The surface of the TGU2-G grinding wheel revealed obvious cracks in the matrix (Figure 11b, marked with red circles). In contrast, no cracks were found in TGU1-G, TF-G and PF-G (Figure 11a, Figure 11c, Figure 11d). As previously described, the gel time of TGU2 resin at 100 °C was longer compared to that of the other resins, with two curing peaks observed in the curing scan, which indicates that the condensation reaction between urea, glyoxal and tannin under alkaline conditions was difficult to complete in a rotary evaporator at 60 °C. This reveals that more reaction steps have been established in the pressing machine at 150 °C, and the liberated free water formed cracks and defects in the grinding wheel. Furthermore, numerous holes were found in TF-G and PF-G compared to TGU1-G. In the case of TF resin, the self-condensation of furfuryl alcohol predominated to such an extent that there was little or no co-reaction with tannin. This caused the TF-G resin mixture to entrap air; thus, during the curing process, numerous holes formed on the surface (Figure 11c, marked with arrow). Glyoxal and urea are known to be very reactive to tannin under acidic conditions. Thus, fewer holes were detected in TGU1-G. For PF-G, very few holes were found (Figure 11d, marked with arrow) because of its high viscosity (check the data in Figure 4). SiO₂ particles could not be detected despite their high mass ratio with respect to the resin, indicating homogenous blending of the abrasive particles and most likely excessive surface reactions via their hydroxyl groups, leading to embedding within the resin.
Preparation and performance of tannin-glyoxal-urea... Zhang et. al

Figure 11: SEM micrographs of (a)TGU1-G, (b)TGU2-G, (c)TF-G and (d) PF-Ggel.

Brinell hardness, compression and abrasion evaluation

Figure 12 shows the Brinell hardness and compressive strength of the grinding wheels. Compared to TF-G prepared in the laboratory and the TF resin-based grinding wheel reported by Lagel et al. (2015a), substitution of furfuryl alcohol with urea and glyoxal in a tannin resin system for preparing TGU1-G can decrease the cost. Moreover, TGU1-G had a higher compressive strength (221.35 MPa) and comparable hardness (10.38 DaN/mm²) compared to TF-G (212.37 MPa and 10.53 DaN/mm², respectively). More defects and holes developed on the TF-G surface compared with TGU1-G, definitely indicating lower compressive strength (Figure 11c). The compressive strength and $H_b$ of TF-G were higher compared to those of TGU2-G, corroborating that glyoxal reacts inefficiently with urea and tannin to form a network structure with enough strength to reinforce the grinding wheel.

The low reactivity of tannin under alkaline conditions greatly hampered the reaction between tannin, glyoxal and urea; this can be deduced from the numerous cracks that appeared on the TGU2-G surface (Figure 11b). This explains the reason for the compressive strength of TGU2-G being lower compared to that of TGU1-G. Moreover, TGU1-G and TF-G showed excellent hardness and compressive strength compared to PF-G, indicating the high quality of the prepared grinding wheel.

Table 3 presents the abrasion (both wet and dry) resistance of the grinding wheels. A commercial Taber Calibrade wheel (H-18) was also tested for comparison with our laboratory-made grinding wheels. In the dry state, TGU1-G with a mass loss of 0.208 % exhibited better wear resistance compared to TF-G with a mass loss of 0.285 % and TGU2-G with a mass loss of 0.585 %; this is due to homogenous blending and excellent bonding between the resin and SiO₂ particles. A high $H_b$ means better resistance to abrasion as can be proved by the lower mass loss of TGU1-G compared with that of TF-G at 200 °C; this is attributable to mass loss as a...
result of high temperature during rotation of the grinding wheel. We also compared TGU1-G with oil-derived resin-based abrasive materials such as PF-G. The corresponding wear index of TGU1-G (11,87E-05) was close to that of PF-G (11,36E-05), which showed a higher mass loss compared to TGU1-G. Therefore, TGU1-G is a promising abrasive material because of its high wear index, which can be up to three times that of the commercial Taber Calibrade wheel (H-18).

Table 3: Abrasion resistance of the grinding wheels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grinding Wheel type</th>
<th>Cycle (r)</th>
<th>Wear index of grinding wheels in dry state</th>
<th>Mass loss of grinding wheels in dry state (%)</th>
<th>Wear index of grinding wheels in wet state</th>
<th>Mass loss of grinding wheels in wet state(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TGU1-G</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11.87 ±0, 26E-05</td>
<td>0.208 ±0, 009</td>
<td>11.53 ±0, 23E-05</td>
<td>0.213 ±0, 011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGU2-G</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>9.36 ±0, 13E-05</td>
<td>0.585 ±0, 021</td>
<td>8.21 ±0, 13E-05</td>
<td>0.737 ±0, 023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF-G</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11.59 ±0, 21E-05</td>
<td>0.285 ±0, 014</td>
<td>11.63 ±0, 20E-05</td>
<td>0.286 ±0, 012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF-G</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>11.36 ±0, 19E-05</td>
<td>0.253 ±0, 008</td>
<td>9.78 ±0, 21E-05</td>
<td>0.437 ±0, 012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference: Wheel H-18</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3.92 ±0, 21E-05</td>
<td>0.107 ±0, 005</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Under wet conditions after immersion in water, the mass loss (0.213 %) and wear index (11,53E-05) of TGU1-G were close to the mass loss (0.208 %) and wear index (11,87E-05) of TGU1-G before immersion in water, indicating that the grinding wheel prepared from the TGU1 resin matrix exhibits good water resistance. Moreover, the mass loss of TGU1-G after immersion in water was lower than that of TF-G in dry state or after immersion in water. In contrast, TGU2-G exhibited weak water resistance, indicated by its higher mass loss and lower wear index after immersion in water compared to those in the dry state.

Compared to the previously reported grinding wheel (which was formulated using TF resin) with a wear index of 9.79E-05 (Lagel et al. 2015b), the wear resistance of the more cost-effective TGU1-G prepared in this study was higher considering the high viability of its formulation for industrial production.

CONCLUSIONS

In this study, a resin mixture comprising urea, glyoxal and tannin (MT) with reasonable viscosity at low curing temperature was prepared by incorporating SiO$_2$ particles. This new route simplifies the fabrication of an environmentally friendly, bio-based grinding wheel in terms of processing time and cost, considering that tannin is a renewable forest product. The MT-based grinding wheels showed good appearance with no visual cracks and few holes. Useful features such as high hardness, strong resistance to compression and better abrasion resistance compared to PF-based grinding wheel and commercial Taber Calibrade wheel (H-18) make the introduced procedure more viable. The excellent thermal resistance of the grinding wheel, with ~2 % mass loss at 200°C, is an indicator of distinct homogeneous cross-linking of the network structure and further reinforcing provided by the abrasive SiO$_2$ particles. The low cost and environmentally friendly fabrication of the MT-based grinding wheel renders it a very promising bio-based abrasive product to replace industrially applicable PF-based grinding wheels.
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