

Dear Revisor,

First of all, we would like to thank you for being willing to review the assigned manuscript.

The evaluation guidelines for Habitat Sustentable, are divided into 5 aspects, each one ending with a segment where your contributions and/or suggestions can be added. On concluding, it is requested you give your recommendations to the editor regarding the final decision of the manuscript.

- 1. BASIC ELEMENTS
- 2. ELEMENTARY STRUCTURE
- 3. WRITING AND STYLE
- 4. IMAGES AND GRAPHICAL INFORMATION
- 5. FINAL RECOMMENDATION

	1. BASIC ELEMENTS
	<b>1.1.</b> The <b>topic</b> presented in the articles is <b>pertinent</b> and <b>suitable</b> for the editorial line of the Hábitat Sustentable Journal
•	Very good Good Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>1.2.</b> The research described is <b>original</b> and is the result of research using <b>scientific standards</b> while constituting a valuable <b>contribution</b> to <b>Habitat Sustentable</b> and to the <b>Construction area</b> .
•	Very good Good Fair Insufficient
	2. BASIC STRUCTURE
	<b>2.1.</b> The article has a <b>title</b> , <b>abstract</b> (identifying the issue behind the study, the goals, methodological aspects results, limitations, conclusion and/or relevant findings) <b>and the keywords</b> are suitable pursuant the <u>Thesaurus of Unesco</u> and <u>Vitruvius</u>
•	Very good Good

•	C Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>2.2.</b> The <b>introduction</b> suitably presents all the <b>background information of the issued discussed</b> (relevance, other relevant research) to <b>provide context</b> of the work, including the study's <b>goals</b> .
•	Very good
•	Good
•	O Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>2.3.</b> The <b>methodological design</b> is suitable for the study's goals, clearly identifying the research variables.
•	O Very good
•	Good
•	Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>2.4.</b> The article <b>explains</b> how the information was compiled, including the procedures this involved (sampling, equipment, materials, measurements and/or field work, etc.).
•	Very good
•	Good
•	O Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>2.5.</b> The <b>results and their interpretation</b> are presented clearly and logically, following the described methodological aspects which, in turn, are suitable for a scientific research project.
•	O Very good
•	Good
•	Fair
•	Insufficient
	<b>2.6.</b> The article presents <b>suitable and relevant conclusions</b> , that are in line with the goals set out.
•	O Very good
•	Good
•	Fair
•	Insufficient
	Induttivion

2.7 The article explains how this research is <b>significant</b> and/or how it contributes towards a Sustainable Habitat and the built environment.
O Very good
Good
Fair
Insufficient
<b>2.8.</b> The paper presents an appropriate <b>bibliography</b> (minimum of 20 articles according to the author's guidelines) and <b>clearly makes</b> the link to recent studies (ideally 5 years in length) and seminal literature
O Very good
Good
O Fair
Insufficient
3. WRITING AND STYLE
<b>3.1.</b> The article presents a clear, precise <b>writing</b> of an appropriate level for a scientific publication and journal.
O Very good
Good
O Fair
Insufficient
4. IMAGES AND GRAPHICAL INFORMATION
<b>4.1</b> . The images and/or graphs <b>summarize and contribute</b> with relevant information to understand the article.
Very good
Good
Fair
Insufficient
FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.1 Comments about their final recommendations to the Authors

Refer to the aspects evaluated in the previous sections: basic elements, elementary structure, writing and style, images and graphic information.

	5.2
	5.2 5.2

Comments on your final recommendation to the Editors

**Recommendation** Set or adjust the reviewer's recommendation.

NOT PUBLISHABLE
PUBLISHABLE WITH MODIFICATIONS
ACCEPT THIS SUBMISSION