

1. BASIC ELEMENTS

1.1. The article presents a clear, precise and original **writing**, at a level appropriate for a scientific journal and recognizing the intellectual property of others.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

1.2. The article constitutes a valuable contribution to the discipline with the **spatial dimension of the city/territory**, the topic is pertinent and appropriate to the editorial line of the Urbano Journal.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2. STRUCTURE ELEMENTS

2.1. The article has a **title, abstract, key words and an adequate scientific structure**. Presenting an adequate balance between all sections: introduction, theoretical framework, methodology, results, discussions and conclusions.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.2. The **introduction** adequately explains the problem in which the study is framed, other relevant research, the contribution and novelty of the research, as well as the clear identification of the objective of the study and the methodological advances.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.3. The **theoretical framework** deepens in the concepts used, providing a diversity of perspectives with updated references.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.4. The **design of the methodology** is appropriate for the objectives of the study, clearly identifies the variables of analysis, states how the information was collected and the selection of the sample in a precise manner. opriate for the study.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.5. The **results** are coherent with the methodology, are presented in a clear and orderly manner, presenting an in-depth analysis, and not merely descriptive, which allows the conclusions to be drawn.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.6. The article presents **discussions** derived from the results and contrasted with the theory.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.7. The article presents **conclusions** that summarize the findings, respond to the stated objectives and indicate the contribution of the case study to the general problem, going beyond the case study.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

2.8. The article presents an appropriate **bibliography** in accordance with the guidelines for authors, with a minimum of 20 references, and makes a **connection** with recent scientific studies (ideally last 5 years) and fundamental literature.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

3. GRAPHIC AND COMPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

3.1. The **images** and/or graphics **summarize and provide** relevant information for the understanding of the article, have a good graphic quality and are referenced in the text.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

3.2. The **tables** and/or graphs **summarize and provide** relevant information for the understanding of the article and are referenced in the text.

- Very good
- Good
- Mediocre
- Poor

4. FINAL RECOMMENDATION

4.1. Comments on your final recommendation to the authors

Refer to the aspects evaluated in the previous sections to justify your final evaluation.

4.2. Comments on your final recommendation to the Editor.

Recommendation Set or adjust the reviewer's recommendation.

- ACCEPT THIS SUBMISSION
- PUBLISHABLE WITH MODIFICATIONS
- RESUBMIT FOR REVIEW
- NOT PUBLISHABLE